# BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION



Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (MOD-001-1a)

Bonneville Power Administration Transmission Services

Effective Date: October 19, 2022

# Contents Outage Planning...... 4 VI. Priorities Used to Set TTC ...... 4 VII. Rated System Path Methodology for BPA's Paths...... 5 Calculating Firm Transmission Service for Paths .......13 Determining base ETC for Flow-Based Paths......15 Calculating Non-Firm Transmission Service for BPA's Paths ......24 Adjustments to Flow-based Path ATC Values .......31 IX. Responding to Data Requests......32 X. ATCID Revisions.......33

# I. Purpose

3

11

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4 This Available Transfer Capability Implementation Document (ATCID) addresses all of the
- 5 requirements of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard
- 6 MOD-001-1a (Available Transmission System Capability). This ATCID is specifically required by
- 7 MOD-001-1a, R3 and its sub-requirements. This ATCID also outlines BPA's Postback
- 8 Methodology as required by North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Wholesale
- 9 Electric Quadrant business practice standards.
- 10 This ATCID only applies to ATC calculations through month 13.

## II. Definitions

- 12 All capitalized terms used in this ATCID are either contained in NERC's Glossary of Terms,
- 13 NAESB WEQ-000, or are defined in this ATCID.
- 14 Defined terms specific to BPA include:
  - Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS): The Transmission System
    constructed and operated by BPA and the 31 federally-constructed hydroelectric dams<sup>1</sup>
    on the Columbia and Snake Rivers, and the Columbia Generating Station nuclear plant.
    Each entity is separately managed and financed, but the facilities are operated as an
    integrated power System.
  - Federal Columbia River Transmission System (FCRTS): The FCRTS is comprised of BPA's main grid network Facilities (Network), Interconnections with other Transmission Systems (External Interconnections<sup>2</sup>), Interties, delivery Facilities, subgrid Facilities, and generation Interconnection Facilities within the Pacific Northwest region and with western Canada and California.
  - Long-Term Reservation: a confirmed reservation that has duration greater than or equal to 365 days
    - **Short-Term Reservation:** a confirmed reservation that has duration less than 365 days

<sup>1</sup> Albeni Falls, Anderson Ranch, Big Cliff, Black Canyon, Boise River Diversion, Bonneville, Chandler, Chief Joseph, Cougar, Detroit, Dexter, Dworshak, Foster, Grand Coulee, Green Peter, Green Springs, Hills Creek, Hungry Horse, Ice Harbor, John Day, Libby, Little Goose, Lookout Point, Lost Creek, Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, McNary, Minidoka, Palisades, Roza and The Dalles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Northern Intertie, Reno-Alturas Transmission System, West of Hatwai, West of Garrison and LaGrande paths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AC Intertie (NWACI), Pacific DC Intertie, and Montana Intertie.

## III. Overview

- 30 BPA owns and provides Transmission Service over the FCRTS. BPA is registered with NERC as a
- 31 Transmission Operator (TOP) and Transmission Service Provider (TSP), among other
- 32 registrations.

29

33

### Methodologies Selected

- 34 MOD-029-2a
- 35 BPA has elected to use the Rated System Path Methodology (MOD-029-2a) to calculate
- 36 Available Transfer Capability (ATC) for its paths. The description of how BPA implements
- 37 this methodology for these paths is included in this ATCID. (MOD-001 R1)
- 38 **MOD-008-1**
- 39 BPA maintains Transmission Reliability Margin (TRM) as described in NERC Standard MOD-
- 40 008-1 for its Northern Intertie, West of Garrison E>W and Satsop Injection paths. The
- description of how BPA implements TRM can be found in BPA's TRM Implementation
- Document (TRMID), found on BPA's website. BPA does not maintain TRM for any other
- 43 paths.

52

53

54 55

56

57

58

59

# 44 Methodologies Not Applicable to BPA

- 45 BPA does not use the Area Interchange Methodology (MOD-028-2), the Flowgate
- 46 Methodology (MOD-030-2), or a Capacity Benefit Margin (MOD-004-1). Therefore, these
- 47 standards are not applicable to BPA.

#### 48 ATC Calculations

#### 49 ATC Calculation Periods

- BPA calculates ATC values using the Rated System Path Methodology for the following time periods: (MOD-001 R2)
  - Hourly values for up to 168 hours. The next hour may be calculated in subhourly intervals, with the most limiting subhourly ATC value being the hourly value. (MOD-001 R2.1)
    - Daily values for day 3 through day 90. For days 3 to 7 (up to hour 168), the daily ATC value is the most limiting hourly ATC value for that day. (MOD-001 R2.2)
  - Monthly values for month 2 through month 13. For months 2 and 3 (up to day 90), the monthly ATC value is the most limiting daily ATC value for that month. (MOD-001 R2.3)

#### Frequency of ATC Recalculation

- BPA recalculates ATC on the following frequency, even if the calculated values
- identified in the ATC equation are unchanged: (MOD-001 R8)
- Hourly, at least once per hour. (MOD-001 R8.1)
- Daily, at least once per day. (MOD-001 R8.2)

- Monthly, at least once per day. (MOD-001 R8.3)
- 65 BPA may recalculate ATC values more frequently due to changes in Total Transfer
- 66 Capability (TTC), Power Transfer Distribution Factors (PTDFs), system issues or as deemed
- 67 necessary.

68

# Limiting Assumptions

- 69 BPA studies assumptions of various System conditions to develop TTCs for its paths for the
- 70 planning of operations time frame. The governing TTCs for each time frame are
- established from these planning of operations studies, based on the time period being
- 72 calculated and the reason for the change in TTC. BPA uses these TTCs in its ATC
- 73 calculations. There are no additional TTC studies conducted to establish the path TTCs
- used BPA's ATC calculations. Therefore when determining the TTC, BPA studies
- assumptions that are no more limiting than those used in its planning of operations for the
- corresponding time period, when such planning of operations has been performed for that
- 77 time period. (MOD-001 R6)
- 78 When calculating ATC, BPA uses the TTCs determined in its planning of operations TTC
- 79 studies. There are no additional TTC studies conducted to establish the path TTCs used in
- 80 BPA's ATC calculations. For flow-based paths, BPA calculates Existing Transmission
- Commitments (ETC) by summing base ETC from power flow studies with interim ETC from
- PTDFs. BPA uses the most recent System condition information to re-calculate its hourly,
- daily and monthly PTDFs in the planning of operations time frame. The ETCs used in
- BPA's ATC calculations are re-calculated with these updated PTDFs in each time frame.
- There are no additional ETC studies, beyond the base ETC studies and the PTDF
- calculations, performed during the planning of operations time frame. Therefore, BPA
- does not use more limiting assumptions when calculating ATC in its planning of operations
- time frame. (MOD-001 R7)

# IV. Allocation Processes

- 90 BPA allocates transfer capability among multiple owners or users of its 1:1 and flow-based
- 91 paths.

89

### 92 Allocating TTC:

- 93 For paths where allocation agreements exist, BPA allocates TTC according to the
- ontractual rights of the various owners as defined in the agreements.
- 95 Allocation agreements do not exist for three of BPA's flow-based paths that have multiple
- owners: South of Allston S>N, Columbia Injection N>S and Wanapum Injection N>S. BPA
- 97 uses the allocations found in the South of Allston N>S agreement to allocate TTC across
- 98 South of Allston S>N. For Columbia Injection N>S and Wanapum Injection N>S, BPA
- determines its share of TTC based on BPA-owned transmission lines that make up these
- paths when all lines are in service. During outage conditions, individual allocations exist
- for the loss of each transmission line in the line definitions for these paths.

# 103 Allocating base ETC:

- 104 BPA also allocates its base ETC among some of its shared flow-based paths. To allocate
- base ETC for South of Allston N>S and S>N, BPA uses the contractual rights defined in the
- South of Allston allocation agreement. To allocate base ETC for the Columbia Injection
- 107 N>S and Wanapum Injection N>S paths, BPA only models BPA's lines in the base ETC cases
- for these paths. Starting with November 2022 and going forward, BPA will also allocate
- base ETC across the Cross Cascades North E>W path by only modeling BPA's lines in the
- base ETC cases for this path. BPA does not allocate base ETC across any other shared
- 111 flow-based paths.
- 112 BPA calculates Power Transfer Distribution Factors based on the entire path definition of all
- 113 paths.

117

133

- 114 At this time BPA does not allocate transfer capabilities among multiple lines or sub-paths
- within a larger path or between TSPs to address forward-looking congestion management and
- seams coordination. (MOD-001 R3.5)

# V. Outages

- Outages from all TSPs that are internal or adjacent to BPA's Balancing Authority Area (BAA)
- can be mapped to the WECC base cases. (MOD-001 R3.6.3)
- 120 Outage Planning
- 121 Outage plans and the policy are posted to the Outage Plans website at: Outage Coordination -
- 122 Bonneville Power Administration (bpa.gov)
- 123 Outage Criteria for TTC Calculations
- BPA incorporates outages into the TTC calculations after they have been studied by BPA or
- provided to BPA by another TOP. Generally, BPA studies outages 10 to 16 days prior to the
- 126 outage start date.
- 127 The duration of an outage is not a criteria by which BPA determines which outages to
- incorporate in its daily and monthly TTC calculations. The most conservative hourly TTC
- 129 calculated for a given outage or combination of outages becomes the governing TTC for the
- daily calculation period. Likewise, the most conservative daily TTC for a given outage or
- combination of outages becomes the governing TTC for the monthly calculation period.
- 132 (MOD-001 R3.6.1) (MOD-001 R.3.6.2)

### VI. Priorities Used to Set TTC

- 134 BPA may update assumptions and calculate new TTCs when changes to System conditions will
- 135 significantly impact those limits and may use those updated assumptions to determine new
- 136 TTC values. The following hierarchy of priorities categorizes the TTC values based on the
- time period being calculated and the reason for the change. This prioritization may then be
- used to revise the path TTC for a given time period if BPA determines that more recent
- assumptions to calculate TTC values better reflect updated System information:

- Real-time limit (highest priority): The "Real-time limit" priority governs when BPA updates the assumptions of System conditions to calculate TTCs during the Real-time horizon. A change to the TTC calculation with the Real-time priority governs all other priorities. For example, if BPA receives an update that a scheduled outage will be extended by two hours early in the Real-time day, BPA may update the assumptions for the TTC calculation accordingly for the additional two hours and may use those same updated assumptions to update the TTC. If there are multiple real-time updates to assumptions for TTC calculations, the most recent TTC calculated governs.
- Scheduling limit: The "scheduling limit" priority may be used occasionally when the assumptions for the TTC are not governing or an actual scheduling limit has been imposed. If there is more than one scheduling limit, the lowest scheduling limit governs until a Real-time limit TTC is submitted.
- **Pre-schedule forecast:** The "pre-schedule forecast" TTC priority may be used for a path if the assumptions for the TTC calculations are updated for the pre-schedule period. For example, for TTCs calculated for flow-based paths that are derived using nomograms, if the assumptions are re-evaluated just prior to the pre-schedule day to incorporate updated data inputs, the TTC may be updated. The pre-schedule forecast TTC governs over the 'studied' priority.
- **Studied:** The "studied" priority is used when there are outages where a study report has been issued, including those provided by other TOPs. For example, if a study report is issued evaluating assumptions for line outage system conditions, the TTCs in that report govern over any lower-priority TTCs for the duration of the line outage conditions.
- Estimated known limit: The "estimated known limit" priority is used to establish unstudied TTCs or to define seasonal path TTCs that govern over "short-term seasonal" or "Path Rating" priorities.
- Short-term seasonal: The "short-term seasonal" priority is used for TTCs issued for seasonal Path Ratings. As these Ratings may be higher at certain times during the year, the short-term seasonal priority governs over the Path Rating priority. For example, if the longer-term Path Rating for a path is 7800 MW, but seasonally this Rating increases to 8000 MW, the short-term seasonal Rating of 8000 MW governs and is used to set the TTC during the season to which it applies.
- Path Rating: The "Path Rating" priority is used to set base TTCs using either the Rating of the paths, TTCs studied using normal conditions, TTCs calculated for the planning horizon, or all of the above. The lowest value resulting from the above calculations governs for the given time period and is used to set the TTC. For example, if under normal conditions the TTC for a path is 4410 MW, but the TTC calculated for the planning horizon is 4100 MW, the lower TTC of 4100 MW governs and is used to set the TTC for the path.
- Informational limit (lowest priority): The "informational limit" is used while establishing the initial setup of paths within the scheduling and reservation system. The informational limit is equal to the initial Path Rating of the path.

# VII. Rated System Path Methodology for BPA's Paths

- 183 This section describes how BPA implements the Rated System Path methodology for its paths.
- 184 It addresses all of the requirements in MOD-029-2a.

# **BPA's Paths**

185

The following tables list BPA's paths. BPA has a combination of 1:1 and flow-based paths, and uses MOD-029-2a to calculate ATC for both.

Table 1, BPA's 1:1 Paths

1:1 Path Name	Direction	1:1 OASIS Path Name
Northern Intertie	(N>S)	NI_TOTL_N>S
Northern Intertie	(S>N)	NI_TOTL_S>N
West of Garrison	(E>W)	WOGARR_E>W
West of Garrison	(W>E)	WOGARR_W>E
La Grande	(W>E)	LAGR_W>E
La Grande	(E>W)	LAGR_E>W
Montana Intertie	(E>W)	MI_E>W
Reno-Alturas NW Sierra	(N>S)	RATS_N>S
Reno-Alturas NW Sierra	(S>N)	RATS_S>N
AC Intertie (NWACI)	(N>S)	AC_N>S
AC Intertie (NWACI)	(S>N)	AC_S>N
Pacific DC Intertie	(S>N)	DC_S>N
Pacific DC Intertie	(N>S)	DC_N>S
Rock Creek Wind	Gen	ROCKCK_GEN
John Day Wind	Gen	JDWIND_GEN
Satsop Injection	Gen	SATSOP_GEN

Table 2, BPA's Flow-Based Paths

Flow-based Path Name	Direction	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
North of Hanford	(N>S)	NOHANF	Vantage-Hanford #1 500-kV; Grand Coulee-Hanford #1 500-kV; and Shultz-Wautoma #1 500-kV	Heavy load case

Flow-based Path Name	Direction	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
North of Hanford	(S>N)	NOHANF_S>N	Hanford-Vantage #1 500-kV; Hanford-Grand Coulee #1 500-kV; and Wautoma-Shultz #1 500-kV	Heavy load case
South of Allston	(N>S)	SOALSN	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Allston-Keeler 500-kV; Lexington-Ross 230-kV; and Allston-St. Helens 115-kV; Portland General Electric-Owned Transmission Lines: Trojan-St. Marys 230-kV; and	Heavy load case
			Trojan-Harborton 230-kV;  PacifiCorp-Owned Transmission Lines:  Merwin-St. Johns 115-kV;  Astoria-Seaside 115-kV; and  Clatsop 230/115-kV	
South of Allston	(S>N)	SOALSN_S>N	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Keeler-Allston 500-kV; Ross-Lexington 230-kV; and St. Helens-Allston 115-kV;	Heavy load case
			Portland General Electric-Owned Transmission Lines: St. Marys-Trojan 230-kV; and Harborton-Trojan 230-kV;	
			PacifiCorp-Owned Transmission Lines: St. Johns-Merwin 115-kV; Seaside-Astoria 115-kV; and Clatsop 230/115-kV	
Raver-Paul	(N>S)	RAVR_PAUL	Raver-Paul #1 500-kV  When Raver-Paul #1 500-kV is out of service, the following lines are monitored:  Raver-Paul #1 500-kV;	Heavy load case
			St. Clair-South Tacoma #1 230-kV; Chehalis-Covington #1 230-kV; Frederickson-St. Clair 115-kV; and Electron Heights-Blumaer 115-kV	

Flow-based Path Name	Direction	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
Cross Cascades North	(E>W)	C-CASC_N	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Schultz-Raver #1, #3, & #4 500-kV; Schultz-Echo Lake #1 500-kV; Chief Joseph-Monroe #1 500-kV; Chief Joseph-Snohomish #3 & #4 345-kV; Rocky Reach-Maple Valley #1 345-kV; Grand Coulee-Olympia #1 287-kV; and Bettas Road-Covington #1 230-kV; Puget Sound Energy-Owned Transmission Line: Rocky Reach-Cascade 230-kV	Heavy load case
Cross Cascades South	(E>W)	C-CACS_S	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Big-Eddy-Ostrander #1 500-kV; Ashe-Marion #2 500-kV; Buckley-Marion #1 500-kV; Knight-Ostrander #1 500-kV; John Day-Marion #1 500-kV; McNary-Ross #1 345-kV; Big Eddy-Chemawa #1 230-kV; Big Eddy-McLoughlin #1 & #2 230-kV; Midway-North Bonneville #1 230-kV; Jones Canyon-Santiam #1 230-kV; and Big Eddy-Troutdale #1 230-kV PGE-Owned Transmission Line:	Heavy load case
West of McNary	(E>W)	WOMCNY	Round Butte-Bethel 230-kV  Coyote Springs-Slatt #1 500-kV; McNary-Ross #1 345-kV; Harvalum-Big Eddy #1 230-kV; Jones Canyon-Santiam #1 230-kV; and McNary-John Day #2 500-kV	Heavy load case
West of Slatt	(E>W)	WOSLATT	Slatt-Buckley #1 500-kV; and Slatt-John Day #1 500-kV	Heavy load case
West of John Day	(E>W)	WOJD_E>W	John Day-Big Eddy #1 500-kV; John Day-Big Eddy #2 500-kV; and John Day-Marion #1 500-kV	Heavy load case
South of Boundary	(N>S)	SBNDRY_N>S	Boundary-Bell #1 230-kV; Boundary-Bell #3 230-kV; Boundary-Usk #1 230-kV; and Boundary 230/115-kV Transformer #1	Heavy load case

Flow-based Path Name	Direction	Flow-based OASIS Path Name	Transmission Line Components	Case used for base ETC calculation
Columbia Injection	(N>S)	CLMBIA_N>S	BPA-Owned Transmission Lines: Columbia-Grand Coulee #1 230-kV; Columbia-Grand Coulee #3 230-kV; Columbia-Rocky Reach #2 230-kV; Columbia-Valhalla #1 115-kV; and Columbia-Valhalla #2 115-kV; Chelan PUD-Owned Transmission Line: Columbia-Rocky Reach #1 230-kV	Heavy load case
Wanapum Injection	(N>S)	WANAPM_N>S	BPA-Owned Transmission Line: Vantage-Midway #1 230-kV; Grant PUD-Owned Transmission Line: Priest Rapids-Midway #3 230-kV	Heavy load case
West of Lower Monumental	(E>W)	W_LOMO_E>W	Lower Monumental-Ashe 500-kV; Lower Monumental-Hanford 500-kV; and Lower Monumental-McNary 500-kV	Heavy load case
North of Echo Lake	(S>N)	N_ECOL_S>N	Echo Lake-Monroe-SnoKing Tap #1 500-kV; Echo Lake-Maple Valley #1 500-kV; Echo Lake-Maple Valley #2 500-kV; and Covington-Maple Valley #2 230-kV	Heavy load case
South of Custer	(N>S)	SCSTER_N>S	Custer-Monroe #1 500-kV; Custer-Monroe #2 500-kV; Custer-Bellingham #1 230-kV; and Custer-Murray #1 230-kV	Heavy load case
West of Hatwai	(E>W)	WOH_E>W	Hatwai-Lower Granite #1 500-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #6 500-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #3 230-kV; Bell-Grand Coulee #5 230-kV; Westside-Grand Coulee #1 230-kV; Dry Creek-Talbot 230-kV; North Lewiston-Tucannon River #1 115-kV; Devils Gap-Stratford 115-kV; Lind-Warden 115-kV; Creston-Bell #1 115-kV; and Dry Gulch-Pomeroy 69-kV	Light load case

BPA will select the Rated System Path Methodology if new paths are implemented, and update the appropriate table above. (MOD-001 R1)

#### 193 **Data and Assumptions** 194 When calculating TTC for its paths, BPA uses WECC base cases that utilize data and 195 assumptions consistent with the time period being studied. (MOD-029, R1.1) In addition to 196 BPA's TOP area, these WECC base cases model the entire Western Interconnection. Hence, the WECC base cases include all TOP areas regardless if they are either contiguous 197 to BPA's TOP area or are linked to BPA's TOP area by a joint operating Agreement. (MOD-198 199 029 R1.1.1.2, R1.1.1.3) 200 TOP areas contiguous with BPA's TOP area include (MOD-029 R1.1.1.2): 201 Avista Corporation (AVA) 202 • BC Hydro (BCH) 203 • California Independent System Operator (CAISO) 204 • City of Tacoma, Department of Public Utilities, Light Division 205 • Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB) 206 • Idaho Power Company (IPCO) 207 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) 208 NorthWestern Energy (NWMT) 209 NV Energy 210 PacifiCorp (PAC) 211 Pend Oreille County Public Utility District No. 1 212 • Portland General Electric (PGE) 213 • Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County 214 • Public Utility District No. 1 of Clark County 215 • Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County 216 • Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington 217 PUD No. 1 of Douglas County 218 • Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (PSEI) 219 Seattle City Light (SCL) 220 BPA uses the following data and assumptions in the WECC base cases when calculating 221 TTCs for its paths: 222 BPA models all existing System Elements in their normal operating condition for the 223 assumed initial conditions, up to the time horizon in which BPA begins modeling 224 outages. (MOD-029 R1.1.2) 225 The WECC base cases include generators and phase shifters that meet the guidelines 226 set out in the WECC Data Preparation Manual. (MOD-029 R1.1.3) (MOD-029 R1.1.4) 227 BPA uses the seasonal Load forecasts contained in the WECC base cases for each BA. 228 (MOD-029 R1.1.5)

192

Calculating TTC

229 230 231 232 233	Generation and Transmission Facility additions and retirements within the WECC footprint are included in the WECC seasonal operating base cases for the season in which they are energized/de-energized, respectively. BPA engineers modify the WECC base cases to reflect the actual dates of energization/de-energization. (MOD-029 R1.1.6, R1.1.7)
234 235	The WECC base cases include Facility Ratings as provided to WECC by the Transmission Owners and Generator Owners. (MOD-029 R1.2)
236 237	If Facility changes are made by BPA or another entity, then the base cases will be updated to reflect these changes with a Mid-Season update. (MOD-029 R1.1, R1.2)
238 239 240	The approved seasonal operating base cases that include the Facility changes will not be used until 0 to 16 days prior to the energization or implementation of the Facility change. (MOD-029 R1.1, R1.2)
241 242 243	For periods beyond two weeks, the WECC base cases will be updated as necessary to perform seasonal studies for the current or upcoming season in accordance with the current BPA study processes. (MOD-029 R1.1, R1.2, R2.1)
244 245 246 247	For all paths, except West of Garrison and Northern Intertie South to North, BPA uses the all lines in service TTC from the relevant seasonal studies when there are no studied outages to set the TTC of the path for the corresponding seasonal time periods.
248 249 250	For West of Garrison, for the seasons or time periods in which the seasonal studies have not been completed, the most recent year's seasonal study results will be used for setting the TTC for the path.
251 252 253 254 255 256	For Northern Intertie South to North, for the seasons or time periods in which the seasonal studies have not been completed, the most recent year's seasonal study results will be used for setting the TTC. BPA uses the minimum TTC from the relevant seasonal studies to set the TTC of the path for periods from the next day and beyond. For the Real-time horizon, when there are no studied outages, BPA uses the maximum TTC from the relevant seasonal studies to set the TTC of the path.
257 258 259	BPA models Special Protection Systems (BPA uses the term Remedial Action Schemes or RAS) that currently exist or are projected for implementation within the studied time horizon. (MOD-029 R1.1.8)
260 261	The WECC base cases include all series compensation for each line at the expected operating level. (MOD-029 R1.1.9)
262 263	BPA uses no other modeling requirements for calculating TTC in addition to those specified in this document. (MOD-029 R1.1.10)
264	Process to Determine TTC
265 266 267	BPA adjusts generation and Load levels within the WECC power-flow base cases to determine the TTC that can be simulated for each of its paths, while at the same time satisfying all operations planning criteria contingencies, as follows:

- BPA studies single and multiple contingencies that are relevant to the path being studied.
- 269 (MOD-029 R2.1)
- When modeling normal conditions, BPA models all Transmission Elements in BPA's BAA and adjacent BAAs at or below 100 percent of their continuous Rating. (MOD-029 R2.1.1)
- BPA models contingencies as per the current version of "RC West System Operating Limits"
- 273 Methodology for the Operations Horizon" (RC West SOL Methodology) posted on RC West's
- 274 website. (MOD-029 R2.1.2)
- 275 When modeling contingencies, BPA determines TTCs by stressing the system until flows
- 276 exceed emergency Facility Ratings or voltages fall outside emergency system voltage
- limits (i.e., the post-Contingency state). If a facility does not have an emergency Facility
- 278 Rating, the normal Facility Rating is used. If there is no emergency system voltage limit,
- the normal system voltage limit is used. (MOD-029 R2.1.2) By meeting the criteria in the
- 280 RC West SOL Methodology, uncontrolled separation should not occur. (MOD-029 R2.1.3)
- BPA's paths listed below are bi-directional and have studied TTCs in both the prevailing and non-prevailing direction of flow. (MOD-029 R2.2)
  - Northern Intertie
    - West of Garrison
    - La Grande
    - Reno-Alturas NW Sierra
- AC Intertie (NWACI)
- 288 Pacific DC Intertie
  - North of Hanford
- South of Allston
- All of BPA's other paths are one directional, in the prevailing direction of flow, and have
- studied TTCs that are established for the prevailing direction of flow. If TTC values for
- the non-prevailing direction of flow were needed for these paths, BPA would determine
- these TTC values in accordance with the sub-requirements listed in MOD-029 R2, including
- 295 MOD-029 R2.2.

283

284

285

286

- 296 For paths where TTC varies due to simultaneous interaction with one or more other paths,
- 297 BPA develops a nomogram, represented either by an equation or its graphical
- representation, describing the interaction of the paths and the resulting TTC under
- specified conditions. BPA then calculates a value, based on that nomogram and
- forecasted System conditions for the time period studied, to develop its TTC values for
- 301 the affected paths. (MOD-029 R2.4)
- BPA or the adjacent path TOP identifies when the new or increased TTC for a path being
- studied by BPA or the adjacent path TOP has an adverse impact on the TTC value of
- another existing path by modeling the flow on the path being studied at its proposed new
- TTC level, while simultaneously modeling the flow on the existing path at its TTC level. In
- doing so, BPA or the adjacent path TOP honors the reliability criteria described above.
- BPA or the adjacent path TOP includes the resolution of this adverse impact in its study
- report for the path. (MOD-029 R2.5)

- BPA has Transmission Ownership Agreements where multiple ownerships of Transmission
- rights exist on a path. TTC for the affected paths is allocated according to contractual
- 311 ownership rights. (MOD-029 R2.6)
- The ratings for BPA's paths whose ratings were established, known, and used in operation
- since January 1, 1994, have been re-established using updated methods. BPA studies its
- paths, with the exception of LaGrande, on a periodic basis and reconfirms the rating of
- each path based on these studies. These ratings are then used to establish the TTC for
- 316 the path.
- For the LaGrande path, BPA uses the Accepted Rating of the path as defined in the WECC
- Path Rating Catalog. BPA's LaGrande path is part of the NW-Idaho path (WECC Path
- 319 14). The rating of Path 14 was reconfirmed through an updated study in 2010 when the
- path definition had to be modified due to the addition of the Hemingway Substation by
- 321 PAC and Idaho Power.
- BPA creates a study report that describes the TTC applicable to the outages during the
- 323 studied time period and includes the limiting Contingencies and the limiting cause for the
- 324 calculated TTC. The RC West SOL Methodology document defines the steps taken and
- assumptions BPA used to determine TTC for each path. BPA creates a study report for
- each study it performs. The study report relies on the basic assumptions included in RC
- West SOL methodology and identifies any changes to those basic assumptions. (MOD-029
- 328 R2.8)
- 329 Information regarding TTCs is shared electronically between the appropriate BPA
- organizations within seven calendar days of the finalization of the study report for the TTCs.
- BPA sends a notice to all TSPs for the paths listed in Table 1 where there are multiple TSPs
- *prior* to limitations in TTCs. (MOD-029 R4)
- 333 These notices are called Notices of Planned Path Limitation. Where BPA has performed a
- 334 study, the notice states that the TTC study report is available to TSPs for the specific path
- within seven calendar days upon request to nercatcstandards@bpa.gov with TTC Study
- 336 Report Request in the subject line. Use the TTC Study Report Request Form found on BPA's
- 337 ATC Methodology website to submit the request.
- 338 A path for which BPA does not perform studies to determine the most current value of TTC is
- Reno Alturas NW Sierra (RATS). For RATS, NV Energy determines TTC. The TTC is provided
- to BPA and BPA then sends a Notice of Planned Path Limitation. (MOD-029 R3)

# Calculating Firm Transmission Service for Paths

- 342 Calculating Firm Existing Transmission Commitments (ETC<sub>F</sub>)
- 343 When calculating ETC<sub>F</sub> for all time periods for its paths, BPA uses the following algorithm as
- 344 specified in MOD-029 R5:
- 345  $ETC_F = NL_F + NITS_F + GF_F + PTP_F + ROR_F + OS_F$
- 346

347	Where:
348 349 350	$NL_F$ is the firm capacity set aside to serve peak Native Load forecast commitments for the time period being calculated, to include losses, and Native Load growth, not otherwise included in Transmission Reliability Margin or Capacity Benefit Margin.
351 352 353	BPA does not have any $NL_F$ , and thus sets $NL_F$ at zero for all of its paths for all time periods. All of BPA's firm Transmission obligations are captured in the $NITS_F$ , $PTP_F$ , $GF_F$ and $ROR_F$ components of the $ETC_F$ algorithm.
354 355 356	${\sf NITS}_{\sf F}$ is the firm capacity reserved for Network Integration Transmission Service serving Load to include losses, and Load growth, not otherwise included in Transmission Reliability Margin or Capacity Benefit Margin.
357 358 359 360 361 362 363	For BPA's 1:1 paths where NITS <sub>F</sub> commitments exist to serve Network Load outside BPA's BAA, the firm capacity set aside for NITS <sub>F</sub> is equal to the Load forecast, which includes losses and Load growth, minus generation outside BPA's BAA that is designated to serve that Load. For BPA's 1:1 paths where NITS <sub>F</sub> commitments exist to serve Network Load inside BPA's BAA from a forecasted or designated network resource that impacts the path the firm capacity set aside for NITS <sub>F</sub> is equal to the amount the resource is forecasted/designated for.
364 365	For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for $NITS_F$ obligations with a combination of base ETC and interim ETC calculations, as described further in this document.
366 367 368	<b>GF</b> <sub>F</sub> is the firm capacity set aside for grandfathered Transmission Service and contracts for energy and/or Transmission Service, where executed prior to the effective date of a Transmission Service Provider's Open Access Transmission Tariff or "safe harbor tariff."
369 370	The amount of $GF_F$ BPA sets aside across its 1:1 paths is based on the terms of each individual contract.
371 372	For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for $GF_F$ obligations with base ETC calculations, as described further in this document.
373	PTP <sub>F</sub> is the firm capacity reserved for confirmed Point-to-Point Transmission Service.
374 375	In BPA's calculations for 1:1 paths, $PTP_F$ is equal to the sum of the MW Demands of $PTP_F$ reservations or schedules.
376 377	For BPA's flow-based paths, BPA accounts for $PTP_F$ obligations with a combination of base ETC and interim ETC calculations, as described further in this document.
378 379 380	For Redirects from conditional short-term firm parent reservations, BPA's ETC accounts for the parent reservation until the Redirect is confirmed on OASIS. Once the Redirect is confirmed, BPA's ETC only accounts for the Redirect.
381 382 383 384	For Redirects from long-term firm parent reservations or unconditional short-term firm parent reservations, BPA's ETC accounts for both the parent reservation and the Redirect reservation until the Redirect itself is unconditional. Once the Redirect is unconditional, BPA's ETC only accounts for the Redirect.

385 386 387 388 389 390	In some cases, BPA has PTP <sub>F</sub> contracts that give customers the right to schedule between multiple Points of Receipt (PORs) and Points of Delivery (PODs). However, the customer can only schedule up to the MW amount specified in their contract. Multiple reservations are created for these special cases to allow BPA to model each POR-to-POD combination. The amount set aside for these cases does not exceed the total PTP <sub>F</sub> rights specified in the contracts.
391 392 393	ROR <sub>F</sub> is the firm capacity reserved for roll-over rights for contracts granting Transmission Customers the right of first refusal to take or continue to take Transmission Service when the Transmission Customer's Transmission Service contract expires or is eligible for renewal.
394 395 396 397	BPA assumes that all of its Transmission Service Agreements eligible to roll-over in the future will be rolled over. If a Transmission Customer chooses not to exercise its roll-over rights by the required deadline, BPA no longer holds out capacity for roll-over rights for that Transmission Customer.
398 399	$OS_F$ is the firm capacity reserved for any other service(s), contract(s), or agreement(s) not specified above using Firm Transmission Service as specified in the ATCID.
400 401 402	BPA has no $OS_F$ and thus sets $OS_F$ at zero for all of its paths for all time periods. All of BPA's firm Transmission obligations are captured in the NITS <sub>F</sub> , PTP <sub>F</sub> , GF <sub>F</sub> and ROR <sub>F</sub> components of the ETC <sub>F</sub> algorithm.
403 404 405 406 407 408 409	Although BPA uses the above algorithm to calculate $ETC_F$ for all of its paths, BPA's $ETC_F$ calculation methodology differs between its 1:1 and flow-based paths. For 1:1 paths, BPA calculates $ETC_F$ by assuming that 1 MW of reserved firm capacity equals 1 MW of $ETC_F$ across that path. The POR/POD combinations for 1:1 ATC paths that impact $ETC_F$ can be found unde the Transmission Availability section of BPA's website. For the flow-based paths, BPA calculates $ETC_F$ by summing the base $ETC_F$ from power-flow $ETC_F$ studies with interim $ETC_F$ calculated using PTDFs.
410	Determining base ETC for Flow-Based Paths
411	Use of WECC Base Cases to Determine Base ETC
412 413	BPA uses the WECC seasonal base cases and modifies them to calculate the base ETC for its flow-based paths. BPA refers to these base cases as ETC Cases.
414	Determining Base ETC for Heavy Load Base Cases
415 416 417 418	BPA creates monthly heavy load ETC Cases to calculate base ETC values. BPA's ETC cases are produced using a power flow model that computes how much power will flow over each flow-based path for the assumed Load and generation levels for each time period studied. Counterflows are inherently modeled in these base cases.
419 420	BPA uses the following assumptions to create heavy load ETC Cases for its base ETC calculations:
421 422 423 424	<b>System topology:</b> Normal operating conditions are used. BPA uses the WECC Winter seasonal case for its November through March ETC base cases, the WECC Spring seasonal case for its April and May ETC base cases, and the WECC Summer seasonal case for its June through October ETC base cases.

425 **Load:** BPA uses loads contained in the WECC seasonal base cases for the time periods being studied, along with any updates to those loads BPA may have made after the WECC base cases were received from WECC.

NITS<sub>F</sub>, PTP<sub>F</sub> and GF<sub>F</sub>: BPA assumes a 1-in-2 year monthly heavy load forecast in all
its monthly ETC cases

**Generation:** For the generators in BPA's Balancing Authority or directly interconnected to BPA, BPA uses the following generation assumptions:

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438 439

440

441 442

443 444

445 446

447

448 449

450

451

452

453 454

455

456

457

458

459

**FCRPS**: For the FCRPS resources serving NITS<sub>F</sub>, PTP<sub>F</sub>, and GF<sub>F</sub> Long-Term Reservations, generation levels are set using a multiple-step process. For all time periods studied, BPA uses the following process:

The Columbia Generating Station is assumed to be on-line at full Load in the ETC cases. Generation levels at the Libby, Hungry Horse, Dworshak, and Albeni Falls projects are set based on the requirements set forth in the 2000 Biological Opinion. The generation levels at the Willamette Valley projects<sup>4</sup> are set at a monthly fleet-aggregate lower 10th percentile of Heavy Load Hour block generation from the planning period of record and adjusted as needed to accurately reflect operations that BPA knows are in place. Nameplate Adjusted **Method:** When creating heavy load ETC Cases, generation levels for all other federal hydro projects<sup>5</sup> are set by first determining the nameplate for each project and then adjusting such nameplates by outages forecasted for the particular plants. Next in the month of August, the Lower Snake plants (Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, and Ice Harbor) are capped at the observed project outflow over the past ten Augusts. Then multiple generation scenarios are modelled by stressing one of three different "zones" of Federal hydro resources to the nameplate adjusted generation levels described above and scales the generation at the remaining Federal hydro projects to match the sum of the demands for all contracts that call out non-specific Federal hydroelectric projects as PORs after adjusting these demands for the portion served by Columbia Generating Station, Libby, Hungry Horse, Dworshak, Albeni Falls, and the Willamette Valley projects. The Federal PTP demands at each project are then added to this result to obtain the final assumed generation level for each Federal hydro project.

**Non-Federal Thermal Generators:** Non-federal thermal generators associated with PTP<sub>F</sub>, GF<sub>F</sub> and NITS<sub>F</sub> Transmission Service for BPA's area and all adjacent TSP areas are set at up to the contract Demand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Willamette Valley projects include: Big Cliff, Cougar, Detroit, Dexter, Foster, Green Peter, Hills Creek, Lookout Point, and Lost Creek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Federal hydro projects include: Grand Coulee, Chief Joseph, Lower Granite, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, Ice Harbor, McNary, John Day, The Dalles, Bonneville.

#### 460 Wind Generators: 461 PTP<sub>F</sub>: Wind generators associated with PTP<sub>F</sub> Long-Term Reservations are set at 462 the following depending on the scenarios being run: 463 Modeled on at 100 percent of the contract demand for the wind 464 generator; or 465 Modeled off 466 NITS<sub>F</sub>: The flow-based path impacts of wind generators identified as 467 designated network resources in NITS<sub>F</sub> contracts or in the NT Resources Memorandum of Agreement in BPA's area are determined on a flow-based 468 469 path-by-flow-based path basis and set at the greater of the following: 470 The wind generators modeled on at the designated amount of the wind 471 generators; or, 472 The wind generators modeled off and replaced by increasing the FCRPS 0 473 generation level by the designated amount of the wind generators using 474 the Nameplate Adjusted Method for all ETC cases described above. 475 Wind generators designated as network resources in NITS<sub>F</sub> contracts for all 476 adjacent TSPs are modeled up to the designated amount. 477 **GF**<sub>F</sub>: BPA and all of BPA's adjacent TSPs have no GF<sub>F</sub> contracts for wind 478 generators. 479 **Behind the Meter Generators:** Non-federal resources that do not require Transmission Service over the FCRTS and that are behind the meter are set up to 480 levels used in BPA's process for power system planning studies. 481 482 Mid-Columbia Hydro Projects: Generation levels at the non-federal Mid-Columbia 483 hydro projects are set up to 90 percent of their historical output by season. 484 When creating heavy load ETC cases, if there is more generation than load plus committed exports in the base case, BPA reduces all excess generation pro rata, 485 except for the stressed FCRPS zone. The generation reduction is done to bring 486 487 generation and load into balance in order to solve the power flow model. 488 When creating heavy load ETC cases, if there is more load and committed exports than generation in the ETC base case, BPA reduces exports on the COI and Pacific DC 489 490 Intertie in the ETC base case. This is done to solve the power flow model. 491 Sensitivity Studies for Heavy Load Base Cases 492 In calculating its base ETC values, BPA runs ETC case scenarios for three different 493 sensitivities: the Canadian Entitlement Return (CER) obligation modeled on or off, 494 wind resources designated to serve PTP<sub>F</sub> and NITS<sub>F</sub> on or off, and stressing the three 495 different zones of the FCRPS. 496 For the FCRPS scenarios, the three "zones" that are stressed individually in the 497 scenarios are made up of the following projects: (i) Upper Columbia zone includes 498 Grand Coulee and Chief Joseph; (ii) Lower Snake zone includes Lower Monumental, Lower Granite, Little Goose, and Ice Harbor; and (iii) Lower Columbia zone includes 499 500 McNary, John Day, The Dalles and Bonneville.

501 For the CER Scenarios, BPA models the FCRPS generators delivering or not delivering 502 energy to Canada in the amount specified in the Canadian Entitlement Agreement. 503 In the CER on scenarios, BPA models the exports to Canada at the Canadian 504 Entitlement Agreement contract level. The FCRPS generation is modeled using the Nameplate Adjusted Method. 505 506 In the CER off scenarios, BPA models imports from Canada at the contract rights that 507 customers have across the Northern Intertie N>S. The FCRPS generation is also 508 modeled using the Nameplate Adjusted Method. 509 For the wind resource scenarios, see above for a description of the base ETC assumptions for wind generators serving PTP<sub>F</sub> and NITS<sub>F</sub>. 510 511 Therefore, in its heavy load base ETC sensitivity analysis, BPA models the following 6 scenarios: 512 513 1. Wind modeled off/Upper Columbia stressed 514 2. Wind modeled off/Lower Snake stressed 3. Wind modeled off/Lower Columbia stressed 515 516 4. Wind modeled on/Upper Columbia stressed 517 5. Wind modeled on/Lower Snake stressed 518 6. Wind modeled on/Lower Columbia stressed 519 All scenarios are run with CER modeled on and off for all months. 520 BPA uses the highest base ETC value calculated from these scenarios in its firm ATC 521 calculations across the flow-based paths. BPA uses the lowest base ETC value from 522 these scenarios in its non-firm ATC calculations across the flow-based paths. 523 Determining Base ETC and Sensitivities for Light Load Base Cases BPA uses the WECC Winter seasonal light load case as the starting point for its Winter 524 seasonal light load ETC base case. The ETC from this case is used as the base ETC for 525 the months of November through March. 526 527 BPA uses the WECC Summer seasonal light load case as the starting point for its Summer light load ETC base case. The ETC from the Summer case is used as the base 528 529 ETC for the months of June through October. 530 If a WECC Spring seasonal light load case is available, BPA uses that case as the 531 starting point for its Spring seasonal light load ETC base case. The ETC from this case 532 is used as the base ETC for the months of April and May. If the WECC Spring seasonal 533 light load case is not available, the higher of the base ETCs from either the Winter or 534 Summer case are used as the base ETC for April and May. 535 BPA uses the following assumptions in light load ETC base cases: 536 a. System topology: Normal operating conditions are used.

537 b. Loads: Loads from the WECC light load cases are used. Beginning with the 538 Winter 2022 seasonal case and for Montana loads only, BPA compares the loads 539 in the WECC seasonal light load case with the seasonal light loads supplied by 540 Montana Power, and uses the lowest of the two values in order to properly 541 stress the light load case. 542 c. Generation: BPA uses generation assumptions from historical data. Canadian 543 Entitlement is modeled as delivering energy to Canada in the amount specified 544 in the Canadian Entitlement Agreement. 545 There are two sensitivity studies performed for the light load ETC base cases: 546 a. Federal generation east of the path is increased, and a corresponding amount 547 of federal generation west of the path is reduced 548 b. Federal generation east of the path is reduced, and a corresponding amount of 549 federal generation west of the path is increased 550 BPA uses the highest base ETC value calculated from these scenarios in its firm ATC 551 calculations across the flow-based paths where light load cases are utilized. BPA uses the lowest base ETC value from these scenarios in its non-firm ATC calculations across 552 553 the flow-based paths where light load cases are utilized. 554 Calculating Interim ETC<sub>F</sub> for Flow-based Paths 555 To calculate the impacts for all NITS<sub>F</sub> and PTP<sub>F</sub> reservations that were not modeled in the 556 base ETC cases, BPA uses PTDF analysis on the demand in each reservation. PTDF analysis 557 is the fraction of energy (expressed as a percentage or as a decimal) that will flow across BPA's monitored flow-based paths as that energy is injected at a POR (or source) relative 558 to a slack bus, and withdrawn at a POD (or sink) relative to a slack bus, for each flow-559 560 based path. 561 PTDF impacts are calculated as per BPA's Transmission Service Requests Evaluation business practice. If a reservation's impact on a flow-based path is determined to be de 562 minimis per the Transmission Service Requests Evaluation business practice, then BPA 563 564 deems the impact of the reservation to be zero when calculating ETC<sub>F</sub> used in the ATC<sub>F</sub> 565 calculation. 566 The sum of these positive impacts is referred to as the interim ETC<sub>F</sub> value, and is added to 567 the base ETC values to produce a final ETC<sub>F</sub> value for each time period for each flow-568 based path. Outages in PTDF Calculations 569

BPA calculates PTDFs by adjusting the WECC base cases to include transmission outages in BPA's outage system for BPA's area and any adjacent TSP areas.

transformers and taps are used to set branches as open in the appropriate base

Transmission outages for Transmission Lines, sections of Transmission Lines,

BPA has no executed coordination Agreements with other TSPs. (MOD-001 R3.6)

case for the hour being calculated.

570

571

572

573 574

When the Raver-Paul 500-kV line is out of service, the PTDFs that BPA calculates and uses for the Raver-Paul path are based on the monitored lines for this path that are outlined in Table 2. This allows BPA to properly manage the Raver-Paul path in this outage situation.

Outage Criteria in ETC Calculations

BPA uses the outage planning timeline described in the "Outages" section. The following criteria determine which outages are incorporated into BPA's hourly, daily and monthly ETC calculations: (MOD-001 R3.6)

### **Hourly ETC Calculations**

For its hourly ETC calculations, BPA uses hourly PTDFs published at least once per day.

#### **Daily ETC Calculations**

For its daily ETC calculations, BPA uses the most recent PTDFs published for the hour ending 11 of each day, since hour ending 11 tends to have the highest coincidence of outages. Therefore all Transmission outages scheduled to occur during the hour ending 11, regardless of the duration of the outage, impact daily ETC calculations. (MOD-001 R3.6.1)

BPA includes Transmission outages in daily ETC calculations beyond the 10- to 16-day planned outage study period if the outage is officially scheduled in BPA's outage system.

### **Monthly ETC Calculations**

For its monthly ETC calculations, BPA uses the most recent daily PTDFs published for the first Tuesday of that month. BPA includes Transmission outages in monthly ETC calculations beyond the 10- to 16-day planned outage study period if the outage is officially scheduled in BPA's outage system. (MOD-001 R3.6.2)

### Source/POR and Sink/POD Identification and Mapping

In the ETC components of its flow-based path ATC calculations, BPA accounts for source and sink for Transmission Service through the following processes:

BPA maps the source/POR and sink/POD to the WECC base cases. In this mapping, BPA has assigned network bus points that represent the primary interface for Interconnection with specific generation projects, adjacent electrical Systems or Load-serving entities and trading hubs. Some adjacent electrical Systems have multiple Interconnection points deemed as PORs/sources or PODs/sinks. The mapping of these points is published in the Transmission Service Contract Points list on BPA's OASIS homepage.

BPA calculates weighted PTDFs for Sources/PORs as follows:

1. The PTDF weighting for the FCRPS/BPAPower PTDF varies by time period and path based on stress scenarios. The PTDF weighting is derived from generation forecasts of the federal resources, for calculations for the next hour through

- approximately two weeks. Beyond this time frame, BPA derives the weighting of the PTDF by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases.
  - 2. BPA derives the PTDF weighting for the Mid-Columbia bus point by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases.
  - 3. BPA has grouped the generators in its adjacent BAAs based on the primary interface between each BAA and the generation projects within that BAA (excluding some remote generators that are scheduled via NERC e-Tag). These groupings are assigned weighted PTDFs that represent how the generators participate in the group and are used to evaluate transactions within and between adjacent BAAs that do not include BPAT. BPA derives the PTDF weightings for these points from BAA-provided generation estimates or by applying the generation dispatch determined in the ETC Cases if generation estimates are not available. In the ETC Cases, these generators are modeled up to the long-term firm Transmission rights associated with the generators.

BPA calculates weighted PTDFs for Sinks/PODs as follows:

- 1. BPA has weighted PTDFs for loads in its adjacent BAAs based on the primary interface between each BAA and the load within that BAA. The weighting is based on how the load is distributed in the BAA.
- 2. BPA calculates a weighted PTDF to account for unscheduled Network Integration Transmission Service loads in BPA's BAA that are served from the FCRPS. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.
- 3. BPA calculates a weighted load for all of the BPA Power Services customers that are served via Network Integration Transmission Service agreements. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.
- 4. BPA calculates a weighted load for PNGC Power, which is a Joint Operating Entity made up of several cooperative utilities. The weighting is based on the individual load forecasts for the time period being calculated.

BPA calculates one weighted PTDF that applies to the following Source/POR and Sink/POD:

1. BPA calculates a weighed PTDF for the Western Energy Imbalance Market. This weighting is based on the percentage of Automatic Generation Control response (which could be zero) carried by each plant in the FCRPS.

# Calculating Firm Available Transfer Capability (ATC<sub>F</sub>)

650 When calculating  $ATC_F$  for its paths for all time periods, BPA uses the following algorithm (MOD-029 R7):

$$ATC_{F} = TTC - ETC_{F} - CBM - TRM + Postbacks_{F} + Counterflows_{F}$$

- 654 Where:
- 655 **ATC**<sub>F</sub> is the firm Available Transfer Capability for the ATC Path for that period.
- 656 TTC is the Total Transfer Capability of the ATC Path for that period.
- 657 **ETC**<sub>E</sub> is the sum of existing firm commitments for the ATC Path during that period.
- For ATC<sub>F</sub> calculations for all time periods, BPA divides ETC<sub>F</sub> into the following variables
- 659 within its ATC software:
- 660 ETC<sub>F</sub> = LRES + SRES + LETC SADJ/ETC Adjustments
- 661 Where:
- 662 LRES is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Long-Term Reservations.
- 663 SRES is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Short-Term Reservations.
- LETC is used to ensure that the amount of NITS<sub>F.</sub> GF<sub>F.</sub> PTP<sub>F</sub> and ROR<sub>F</sub> capacity BPA sets
- aside in the LRES variable for contracts where BPA gives customers the right to schedule
- the capacity reserved between multiple PORs and PODs does not exceed the total capacity
- specified in those contracts.
- 668 LETC is also used to align the ETC calculated in the power flow base case with additional
- PTDF calculations in order to balance to the standard OATI calculation. This adjustment is
- derived by comparing two values: a) the impacts of the confirmed PTP<sub>F</sub>, GF<sub>F</sub>, NITS<sub>F</sub> and
- ROR<sub>F</sub> Long-Term Reservations derived from the base ETC Cases and b) the impacts of the
- same reservations calculated using PTDF Analysis for each flow-based path. The
- adjustment for each flow-based path is equal to the difference of these two values.
- 674 Conditional firm reservations are not included in the ETC Cases and therefore are also not
- included in this comparison.
- SADJ/ETC Adjustments is the variable BPA uses to make adjustments to ETC<sub>F</sub> not
- captured in LRES or SRES.
- BPA applies one such adjustment to allow for deferral competitions, as required in Section
- 17.7 of BPA's OATT. When a deferral reservation is confirmed, BPA applies an SADJ/ETC
- Adjustment to hold out capacity for the time period deferred, starting at the latter of five
- months out or the service commencement date of the original reservation, to allow for a
- competition. At four months out, if no competition is identified, the SADJ/ETC
- Adjustment is modified to release the capacity for the fourth month out.
- BPA uses a SADJ/ETC Adjustment to account for a portion of the firm TRM that BPA
- applies on the NI S>N.
- 686 BPA also uses SADJ/ETC Adjustments to ensure accurate accounting of ETC<sub>F</sub>. These
- adjustments may be performed to account for situations such as data modeling
- corrections, and are noted in the descriptions of the adjustments.
- The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to
- the variables in the ETC<sub>F</sub> algorithm.

ETC <sub>F</sub> =	NITS <sub>F</sub>	+	GF <sub>F</sub>	+	$PTP_F$	+	ROR <sub>F</sub>	
	<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>	
	LRES		LRES		LRES		LRES	
	+				+			
	SRES				SRES			
	+		+		+		+	
	LETC	C LETC I		LETC		LETC		
	-		-		-		-	
	SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC	
	Adjustments		Adjustments		Adjustments		Adjustments	

- 692 CBM is the Capacity Benefit Margin for the ATC Path during that period.
- BPA does not maintain CBM and thus sets CBM at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- 695 TRM is the Transmission Reliability Margin for the ATC Path during that period.
- The description of how BPA implements TRM can be found in BPA's TRMID, which is posted on BPAs website.
- 698 **Postbacks**<sub>F</sub> are changes to firm Available Transfer Capability due to a change in the use of Transmission Service for that period, as defined in Business Practices.
- BPA automatically recalculates ETC<sub>F</sub> to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and Redirect and annulments). Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests are captured in ETC<sub>F</sub>, BPA sets Postbacks<sub>F</sub> at zero for all time periods when calculating ATC<sub>F</sub>.
- 704 **Counterflows**<sub>F</sub> are adjustments to firm Available Transfer Capability as determined by the Transmission Service Provider and specified in their ATCID.
- BPA does not include confirmed Transmission reservations, expected interchange or internal flow counter to the direction of the path being calculated in its ATC<sub>F</sub> calculations. BPA's rationale is that it does not want to offer firm ATC due to counterflow that may not be scheduled as this could lead to curtailments of Firm Transmission Service in the Real-time horizon. (MOD-001 R3.2) Therefore BPA sets Counterflows<sub>F</sub> at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- For flow-based paths, counterflows are automatically modeled in the base ETC cases. In instances where the power flow study results in a negative base ETC value, BPA uses zero as the base ETC for purposes of calculating ATC<sub>F</sub>. This is done to ensure that BPA does not make capacity available as a result of counterflows that may or may not materialize in real-time.

# 717 Calculating Non-Firm Transmission Service for BPA's Paths

- 718 BPA calculates ETC<sub>NF</sub> and ATC<sub>NF</sub> for each of its six non-firm Transmission products. The six
- 719 non-firm products are: Secondary Network (NITS<sub>NF6</sub>), Monthly Non-Firm PTP (PTP<sub>NF5</sub>), Weekly
- 720 Non-Firm PTP (PTP<sub>NF4</sub>), Daily Non-Firm PTP (PTP<sub>NF3</sub>), Hourly Non-Firm PTP (PTP<sub>NF2</sub>) and
- 721 Secondary Non-Firm Hourly PTP (PTP<sub>NF1</sub>).

# 722 Calculating Non-Firm Existing Transmission Commitments (ETC<sub>NF</sub>)

- 723 BPA calculates ETC<sub>NF</sub> for all time periods and paths using the algorithm in MOD-029 R6:
- 724  $ETC_{NF} = NITS_{NF} + GF_{NF} + PTP_{NF} + OS_{NF}$
- 725 ETC<sub>NF</sub> is calculated for each of BPA's six non-firm Transmission products as follows:
- 726 1. ETC<sub>NF6</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub> transmission product
- 727 2. ETC<sub>NF5</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub> and PTP<sub>NF5</sub> transmission products
- 728 3. ETC<sub>NF4</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub> and PTP<sub>NF4</sub> transmission products
- 4. ETC<sub>NF3</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, and PTP<sub>NF3</sub> transmission products
- 730 5. ETC<sub>NF2</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub> and PTP<sub>NF2</sub> transmission products
- 6. ETC<sub>NF1</sub>: includes the NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> transmission products
- 732 Where:
- 733 NITS<sub>NF</sub> is the non-firm capacity set aside for Network Integration Transmission Service serving
- Load (i.e., secondary service), to include losses, and Load growth not otherwise included in
- 735 Transmission Reliability Margin or Capacity Benefit Margin.
- In BPA's calculations, this is comprised of the NITS<sub>NF6</sub> Transmission product. BPA's NITS<sub>NF6</sub>
- 737 calculation does not include losses or Load growth, since losses and Load growth are
- 738 already set aside as firm capacity in NITS<sub>F</sub>.
- 739 **GF**<sub>NF</sub> is the non-firm capacity set aside for grandfathered Transmission Service and contracts
- 740 for energy and/or Transmission Service, where executed prior to the effective date of a
- 741 Transmission Service Provider's Open Access Transmission Tariff or "safe harbor tariff".
- 742 BPA does not have any grandfathered non-firm Transmission Service obligations and thus
- sets  $GF_{NF}$  at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- 744 PTP<sub>NF</sub> is non-firm capacity reserved for confirmed Point-to-Point Transmission Service.
- Depending on the ETC<sub>NF</sub> being calculated, PTP<sub>NF</sub> will include the PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>.
- PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> Transmission products.
- 747 **OS**<sub>NF</sub> is the non-firm capacity reserved for any other service(s), contract(s), or agreement(s)
- 748 not specified above using non-firm transmission service as specified in the ATCID.

- BPA has no OS<sub>NF</sub> and thus sets OS<sub>NF</sub> at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.
- 750 ETC<sub>NF</sub> for 1:1 paths is calculated by assuming that 1 MW of reserved and/or scheduled capacity
- 751 results in 1 MW of impact across the 1:1 path. The POR/POD combinations for 1:1 ATC paths
- 752 that impact ETC<sub>NF</sub> can be found under the Transmission Availability section of BPA's website.
- 753 When calculating ETC<sub>NF</sub> for flow-based paths, BPA sums the positive impacts of reservations
- and/or schedules as determined by PTDF analysis, per BPA's Transmission Service Requests
- 755 Evaluation business practice. The treatment of *de minimis* impacts in ETC<sub>NF</sub> is covered within
- 756 the Calculating Non-Firm Available Transfer Capability section below.

# 757 Calculating Non-Firm Available Transfer Capability (ATC<sub>NF</sub>)

- 758 BPA calculates ATC<sub>NF</sub> for its paths for two horizons: Real-time and Beyond Real-time. The
- 759 Real-time horizon begins at 10 p.m. each day for the 24 hours in the next day. The Beyond
- Real-time horizon includes hourly for the hours after those included in the Real-time period
- 761 as well as daily and monthly calculations.
- 762 BPA calculates ATC<sub>NF</sub> for all time periods and paths using the algorithm found in MOD-029 R8:

763 
$$ATC_{NF} = TTC - ETC_{F} - ETC_{NF} - CBM_{S} - TRM_{U} + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$$

- 764 ATC<sub>NF</sub> is calculated for each of BPA's six non-firm Transmission products as follows:
- 765 1.  $ATC_{NF6} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF6} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 766 2.  $ATC_{NF5} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF5} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 767 3.  $ATC_{NF4} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF4} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 768 4.  $ATC_{NF3} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF3} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 769 5.  $ATC_{NF2} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF2} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 770 6.  $ATC_{NF1} = TTC ETC_F ETC_{NF1} CBM_S TRM_U + Postbacks_{NF} + Counterflows_{NF}$
- 771 Table 3 outlines the differences in how the ATC<sub>NF</sub> algorithm components are calculated
- between the Beyond Real-time and Real-time time horizons.

Table 3, ATC<sub>NF</sub> Calculation for Beyond Real-Time and Real-Time Horizons

Algorithm Component	Beyond Real-time	Real-time
ттс	As described in TTC section in the ATCID	Same
ETC <sub>F</sub>	Calculated using reservations and base ETC cases for flow-based paths  • De minimis impacts are treated as zero in ETC <sub>F</sub>	Calculated using schedules     De minimis impacts are included in ETC <sub>F</sub>
ETC <sub>NF</sub>	Calculated using reservations     De minimis impacts are treated as zero in ETC <sub>NF</sub>	Calculated using reservations until scheduled, then calculated using schedules  • De minimis impacts are included in ETC <sub>NF</sub> for both reservations and schedules
CBMs	N/A	N/A
TRM <sub>U</sub>	As described in the TRMID	Same
Postbacks <sub>NF</sub>	Zero since ETC <sub>NF</sub> is recalculated to capture changes to the Transmission Service Requests	Zero since ETC <sub>NF</sub> is recalculated to capture changes to the Transmission Service Requests and/or schedules, with the exception of AC N>S
Counterflows <sub>NF</sub>	Included with schedules	Same

#### 775 Where:

774

- 776 ATC<sub>NF</sub> is the non-firm Available Transfer Capability for the ATC Path for that period.
- 777 BPA calculates six ATC<sub>NF</sub> values as described above.
- 778 TTC is the Total Transfer Capability of the ATC Path for that period.
- 779 **ETC**<sub>F</sub> is the sum of existing firm commitments for the ATC Path during that period.
- 780 The section below outlines how BPA calculates ETC<sub>F</sub> for all of its paths for the beyond Real-time and the Real-time horizons.
- 782 ETC<sub>F</sub> for the Beyond Real-Time Horizon
- Reservations, and base ETC cases for flow-based paths, are used to calculate ETC<sub>F</sub> for the Beyond Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC<sub>F</sub> for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts of reservations across flow-based paths are deemed to be zero.
- For ATC<sub>NF</sub> calculations for the beyond Real-time horizon, BPA utilizes the following variables within its ATC software to calculate ETC<sub>F</sub>:
- 788 ETC<sub>F</sub> = LRES + SRES SADJ/ETC Adjustments + NFETC

790 Where:

791 LRES is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Long-Term Reservations.

**SRES** is the sum of positive impacts of BPA's Short-Term Reservations.

**SADJ/ETC Adjustments** is the variable used to make adjustments to ETC<sub>F</sub> not captured in LRES or SRES.

BPA applies one such adjustment to allow for deferral competitions, as required in Section 17.7 of BPA's OATT. When a deferral reservation is confirmed, BPA applies a SADJ/ETC Adjustment to hold out capacity for the time period deferred, starting at the latter of five months out or the service commencement date of the original reservation, to allow for a competition. At four months out, if no competition is identified, the SADJ/ETC Adjustment is modified to add back capacity for the fourth month out.

BPA uses SADJ/ETC Adjustments to ensure accounting of ETC<sub>F</sub>. These adjustments may be performed to account for situations such as data modeling corrections, and are noted in the descriptions of the adjustments.

**NFETC** is used to ensure that the amount of  $NITS_F$ ,  $GF_F$ ,  $PTP_F$  and  $ROR_F$  capacity BPA sets aside in the LRES variable for contracts where BPA gives customers the right to schedule the capacity reserved between multiple PORs and PODs does not exceed the total capacity specified in those contracts.

NFETC is also used to align the ETC calculated in the power flow base case along with additional PTDF calculations in order to balance to the standard OATI calculation.

This adjustment is derived by comparing two values: a) the impacts of the PTP<sub>F</sub>, GF<sub>F</sub> and NITS<sub>F</sub> Long-Term Reservations derived from the base ETC Cases and b) the impacts of the same reservations calculated using PTDF Analysis for each flow-based path. The adjustment for each flow-based path is equal to the difference of these two values. Conditional firm reservations are not included in the ETC Cases and therefore are also not included in this comparison.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the  $ETC_F$  algorithm for the Beyond Real-time horizon.

ETC <sub>F</sub> =	NITS <sub>F</sub>	+ GF <sub>F</sub>	+	PTP <sub>F</sub>	+	ROR <sub>F</sub>
	<b>1</b>	<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>
	LRES	LRES		LRES		LRES
	+			+		
	SRES			SRES		
	+	+		+		+
	NFETC	NFETC		NFETC		NFETC
	-	-		-		-
	SADJ/ETC	SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC		SADJ/ETC
	Adjustments	Adjustments		Adjustments		Adjustments

819 ETC<sub>F</sub> for the Real-Time Horizon

For ATC<sub>NF</sub> calculations for the Real-time horizon, BPA divides ETC<sub>F</sub> into the following variables within its ATC software:

822 ETC =  $SCH^{+}_{7}$  +  $ASC^{+}_{7}$  + RADJ/ETC Adjustment

Schedules are used to calculate ETC<sub>F</sub> for the Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC<sub>F</sub> for

this horizon, de minimis impacts of schedules across flow-based paths are included in

 $ETC_{F}$ .

Where:

 $SCH^{+}_{7}$  is the sum of the positive impacts of schedules that reference confirmed NITS<sub>F</sub>,  $GF_{F}$  and  $PTP_{F}$  reservations for the ATC Path for that period. The energy profile of the

schedule is used except for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

 $\mathsf{ASC}^{+}_{7}$  is the sum of the positive impacts of dynamic schedules that reference confirmed NITS<sub>F</sub>, GF<sub>F</sub> and PTP<sub>F</sub> reservations for the ATC Path for that period. The transmission profile of the schedule is used for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

**RADJ/ETC Adjustment:** BPA uses RADJ/ETC adjustments to ensure accurate accounting of  $ETC_F$ . These adjustments may be performed to account for situations such as data modeling corrections.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the  $ETC_F$  algorithm for the Real-time horizon.  $ROR_F$  is not included in  $ETC_F$  for the Real-time horizon because  $ROR_F$  is not relevant for the Real-time horizon.

ETC <sub>F</sub> =	NITS <sub>F</sub>	+	GF <sub>F</sub>	+	PTP <sub>F</sub>
	<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>
	SCH <sup>+</sup> 7		SCH⁺ <sub>7</sub>		SCH⁺ <sub>7</sub>
	+		+		+
	ASC <sup>+</sup> 7		ASC <sup>+</sup> <sub>7</sub>		ASC⁺ <sub>7</sub>
	+		+		+
	RADJ/ETC Adjustment		RADJ/ETC Adjustment		RADJ/ETC Adjustment

**ETC**<sub>NF</sub> is the sum of existing non-firm commitments for the ATC Path during that period.

The section below outlines how BPA calculates ETC<sub>NF</sub> for all of its paths for the beyond Real-time and the Real-time horizons.

#### ETC<sub>NF</sub> for the Beyond Real-Time Horizon

For ATC<sub>NF</sub> calculations for the beyond Real-time horizon, ETC<sub>NF</sub> is reflected as the following variable within BPA's ATC software:

 $ETC_{NF} = RRES_{6,5,4,3,2,1}$ 

Reservations are used to calculate ETC<sub>NF</sub> for the Beyond Real-time horizon. When calculating ETC<sub>NF</sub> for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts of reservations across flow-based paths are deemed to be zero.

#### Where:

**RRES**<sub>6,5,4,3,2,1</sub> is the sum of the positive impacts of all confirmed NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> reservations.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the  $ETC_{NF}$  algorithm for the Beyond Real-time horizon.

ETC <sub>NF</sub> =	NITS <sub>NF</sub>	+	PTP <sub>NF</sub>
	<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>
	RRES <sub>6</sub>		RRES <sub>5,4,3,2,1</sub>

#### ETC<sub>NF</sub> for the Real-Time Horizon

For  $ATC_{NF}$  calculations in the Real-time horizon,  $ETC_{NF}$  is reflected as the following variables within BPA's ATC software:

859 
$$ETC_{NF} = SCH_{6,5,4,3,2,1}^+ + ASC_{6,5,4,3,2,1}^+$$

To calculate  $ETC_{NF}$  for the Real-time horizon, reservations are used until schedules are received, and then schedules are used. When calculating  $ETC_{NF}$  for this horizon, *de minimis* impacts across flow-based paths are included in  $ETC_{NF}$ , regardless of whether the reservation or schedule is being used in the calculation.

#### Where:

SCH<sup>+</sup>6,5,4,3,2,1 is the sum of the positive impacts of schedules referenced to confirmed NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> reservations, plus the sum of the positive impacts of pending and confirmed NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> reservations that have not yet been scheduled. Once these reservations are scheduled, the schedule is used for ETC<sub>NF</sub>, thereby adding back the difference between the reservation and schedule amounts to ATC<sub>NF</sub>. The energy profile of the schedule is used except for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

ASC\*<sub>6,5,4,3,2,1</sub> is the sum of positive impacts of dynamic schedules referenced to confirmed NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> reservations, plus the sum of the positive impacts of pending and confirmed NITS<sub>NF6</sub>, PTP<sub>NF5</sub>, PTP<sub>NF4</sub>, PTP<sub>NF3</sub>, PTP<sub>NF2</sub> and PTP<sub>NF1</sub> reservations that have not yet been scheduled. Once these reservations are scheduled, the schedule is used for ETC<sub>NF</sub>, thereby adding back the difference between the reservation and schedule amounts to ATC<sub>NF</sub>. The transmission profile of the schedule is used for the schedule types of Dynamic, Capacity and Pseudo-tie.

The following diagram illustrates how the variables in BPA's ATC software correspond to the variables in the  $ETC_{NF}$  algorithm for the Real-time horizon.

ETC <sub>NF</sub> =	NITS <sub>NF</sub>	+	PTP <sub>NF</sub>
	<b>\</b>		<b>\</b>
	SCH⁺ <sub>6</sub>		SCH <sup>+</sup> 5,4,3,2,1
	+		+
	ASC+ <sub>6</sub>		ASC <sup>+</sup> 5,4,3,2,1

**CBM**<sub>S</sub> is the Capacity Benefit Margin for the ATC Path that has been scheduled during that period.

BPA does not maintain CBM and thus sets CBM<sub>S</sub> at zero for all of its paths for all time periods.

TRM<sub>U</sub> is the Transmission Reliability Margin for the ATC Path that has not been released for sale (unreleased) as non-firm capacity by the Transmission Service Provider during that period.

The description of how BPA implements TRM can be found in BPA's TRMID, which is posted on BPAs website.

**Postbacks**<sub>NF</sub> are changes to non-firm Available Transfer Capability due to a change in the use of Transmission Service for that period, as defined in Business Practices.

The section below outlines how BPA calculates Postbacks<sub>NF</sub> for all of its paths for the beyond Real-time and the Real-time horizons.

#### Postbacks<sub>NF</sub> for the Beyond Real-time horizon

BPA automatically recalculates  $ETC_{NF}$  to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and annulments) for the Beyond Real-time horizon. Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests are captured in  $ETC_{NF}$ , BPA sets Postbacks<sub>NF</sub> at zero for this horizon.

#### Postbacks<sub>NF</sub> for the Real-time Horizon

BPA automatically recalculates  $ETC_{NF}$  to account for changes to Transmission Service Requests (such as request types of Recall and annulments) and/or schedules for the Realtime Horizon. Since these types of changes to Transmission Service Requests and/or schedules are captured in  $ETC_{NF}$ , BPA sets Postbacks<sub>NF</sub> at zero for this horizon for all paths with the exception of AC N>S.

906 907 908 909	For ATC <sub>NF</sub> calculations for the AC N>S path in the Real-time horizon, BPA uses a Postbacks <sub>NF</sub> , expressed as RADJ/ETC. For its hourly AC N>S non-firm calculations, BPA posts back any unused share of non-firm capacity that is available to BPA by capacity ownership and other Agreements for the AC N>S, if needed to prevent Curtailments.
910 911	$\textbf{Counterflows}_{\text{NF}} \text{ are adjustments to non-firm Available Transfer Capability as determined by the Transmission Service Provider and specified in its ATCID.}$
912 913 914 915	Since a schedule provides assurance that the transaction will flow, all counterflows resulting from firm and non-firm Transmission schedules, excluding tag types dynamic, pseudo and capacity, are added back to $ATC_{NF}$ in the Counterflows <sub>NF</sub> component. (MOD-001 R3.2)
916 917	In BPA's ATC <sub>NF</sub> calculations, Counterflows <sub>NF</sub> is expressed as SCH $_{7,6,5,4,3,2,1}$ , which is the sum of schedules flowing in the direction counter to the direction of the path.
918 919 920 921 922	Counterflows are modeled in the ETC Cases used to determine $ETC_F$ for BPA's flow-based paths. In instances where the power flow study results in a negative base ETC value, BPA uses zero as the base ETC for purposes of calculating $ATC_{NF}$ . This is done to ensure that BPA does not make capacity available as a result of counterflows that may or may not materialize in real-time
923 924	In some cases, the amount of Counterflows <sub>NF</sub> exceeds the sum of the ETC <sub>F</sub> and ETC <sub>NF</sub> , which, when added to TTC, results in $ATC_{NF}$ greater than TTC.
925 926 927	Note: The variable RADJ/ETC is also used to respond to a BPA dispatcher order to change ATC values by a specified amount and thereby reduce schedules in-hour when the flow exceeds the TTC.
928	Adjustments to Flow-based Path ATC Values
929 930 931 932 933	There may be instances where BPA needs to perform testing in the production environment of the systems that manage BPA's ATC calculations. In these instances, BPA may adjust its ATC values across the flow-based paths to ensure that Hourly requests are not declined due to lack of ATC across the flow-based paths. BPA will issue a notice to customers with the details prior to performing this testing.
934	VIII. Data Sources and Recipients
935 936 937 938 939	BPA receives data for use in its ATC calculations, and provides data for use in calculating 1:1 and flow-based path capabilities through the WECC base case process. BPA also directly receives and provides data, such as outage information and specific Transmission commitments, from and to the following Transmission Service Providers and Transmission Operators: (MOD-001 R3.3, R3.4)
940 941 942 943	<ul> <li>Avista Corporation</li> <li>BC Hydro</li> <li>California Independent System Operator</li> <li>City of Tacoma, Department of Public Utilities, Light Division</li> </ul>

- Eugene Water and Electric Board
- 945 Fortis BC
- 946Idaho Power Company
- Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
- 948 NV Energy
- 949 NorthWestern Energy
- 950 Pacific Gas & Electric
- 951PacifiCorp

955

966

- Pend Oreille County Public Utility District No. 1
- 953 Portland General Electric
- Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County
  - Public Utility District No. 1 of Clark County
- Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County
- Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington
- Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County
- Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Seattle City Light
- 962 Southern California Edison
- Transmission Agency of Northern California
- Western Area Power Administration Sierra Nevada Region
- California Independent System Operator

# IX. Responding to Data Requests

- 967 Upon official request from any Transmission Service Provider, Planning Coordinator,
- 968 Reliability Coordinator, or Transmission Operator for any data from the list below, solely for
- 969 use in the requestor's ATC or AFC calculations, BPA will begin to make the data available
- 970 within 30 calendar days of receiving the request.
- Expected generation and Transmission outages, additions, and retirements
- 972 Load forecasts
- Unit commitments and order of dispatch, to include all designated resources (BPA does not have resources that are committed or have the legal obligation to run)
- Firm NITS and non-firm NITS (i.e. Secondary Service)
- Firm and non-firm Transmission reservations
- 977 Grandfathered obligations
- 978 Firm roll-over rights
- Any firm and non-firm adjustments applied by BPA to reflect parallel path impacts
- Power flow models and underlying assumptions
- Contingencies, provided in one or more of the following formats:

982	<ul> <li>A list of Elements</li> </ul>
983	<ul> <li>A list of flow-based paths</li> </ul>
984 985	<ul> <li>A set of selection criteria that can be applied to the WECC base cases used by BPA</li> </ul>
986	Facility Ratings
987	Any other service that impact ETCs
988	<ul> <li>Values of CBM and TRM for all paths</li> </ul>
989	<ul> <li>Values of TTC and ATC for all paths</li> </ul>
990	<ul> <li>Source and sink identification and mapping to the WECC base cases</li> </ul>
991 992	BPA will make this data available on the schedule specified by the requestor (but no more frequently than once per hour, unless mutually agreed to by the requestor and Bonneville).
993	For a Transmission Service Provider, Planning Coordinator, Reliability Coordinator, or
994	Transmission Operator to officially request data to use in ATC or AFC calculations, the
995	requestor must fill out the <b>Data Request Form</b> (MOD-001 R9) found on BPA's ATC
996	Methodology website. The completed request form must be sent to
997	nercatcstandards@bpa.gov with Data request Form (MOD-001 R9) in the subject line. (MOD-
998	001 R9)
	· .=====
999	X. ATCID Revisions

# X. ATCID Revisions

BPA will notify the entities contained in ATCID TP Distribution List when implementing a new or revised ATCID and make its current ATCID available. (MOD-001 R4, R5) 1000 1001